10 01 10 10 7 FISE

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO.:

04 11459 RCL

GARBER BROTHERS, INC. Plaintiff,

MAGISTRATE JUDGEC ON 1

٧s.

JH TRADEMARK COMPANY, LLC
Defendant.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

AMOUNT \$150
SUMMONS ISSUED LOCAL RULE 4.1
WAIVER FORM
MCF ISSUED

BY DPTY, CLI To the Judges of the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

The Petition of the Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff respectfully shows:

- On June 4, 2004 an action was commenced against the Petitioner in the Norfolk Superior Court, Norfolk County, Massachusetts entitled "Garber Brothers, Inc. vs. JH Trademark Company, LLC.", Civil Action Number: 04-940, by service upon Petitioner of a summons and complaint on June 7. Pursuant to Rule 81.1 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, the Petitioner will file in this Court certified or attested copies of the summons and complaint and docket entries from the Norfolk Superior court within thirty days. No further proceedings have been had therein.
- 2. The above described action is one of which this court has original jurisdiction under the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 1332, and is one which may be removed to this court by the Petitioner, defendant herein, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, Untied States Code, Section 1441, in that he parties are citizens of different states; the Plaintiff Garber Brothers, Inc., has pleaded that it is a Massachusetts corporation with a principal place of business in Massachusetts and that the Petitioner, JH Trademark Company, LLC is a citizen of the State of Arizona with a principal place of business in Arizona. [See Complaint paragraphs 1 and 2];
- The amount in controversy meets the jurisdictional amount required, exclusive of interest and costs.

- 4. Petitioner reserves the right to amend or supplement this Notice of Removal.
- 5. Pursuant to 28 U. S. C. § 1446, a copy of this Notice of Removal is being filed with the Norfolk Superior Court.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that he above action now pending against it in the Norfolk Superior Court, in and for the County of Norfolk, Massachusetts, be removed therefrom to this Honorable Court.

> Respectfully submitted The defendant by its attorney THE VINCENT E. BONAZZOLI LAW FIRM, P.C.

David C. Nunheimer David C. Nunheimer, Esq. 546764

336 South Street Hyannis, MA 02601 508-775-0763 fax 508-790-0072

Certificate of Service

I certify under the pains and penalties of perjury that I have served the foregoing on all parties by mail postage prepaid this 2 3 day of June, 2004. David C. Number

3.q 966 °ON SEDILLO MUT JUN.25.2004 11:02AM

## COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

NORFOLK, SS.	•	SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT
GARBER BROS., INC.,	) ) ) Plaintiff, )	
v. JH TRADEMARK COMPANY, LLC,	) ) )	CIVIL ACTION NO.
	Defendant. )	

## COMPLAINT

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This is an action by Garber Bros., Inc. ("GBI") seeking injunctive telief and damages arising from IH Trademark Company, LLC's ("JHT") breach of a contrast for the distribution of frozen pizzas to convenience stores up and down the Eastern seaboard. JHT, furthermore, is impermissibly sharing GBI's confidential information and trade secrets with one of GBI's competitors, Dari Farms Ice Cream, Inc. ("Dari Farms"), in violation of the express provisions of its agreement with GBI and Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93, §§ 42, 42A. JHT is obligated to permit GBI to obtain the benefits for which it contracted and to cease any unfair and unlawful disclosure of GBI's confidential and proprietary information.

## **PARTIES**

- 1. Garber Bros., Inc. is a Massachusetts corporation with a principal place of business of Route 139 at Kay Way, Stoughton, Massachusetts 02072.
- 2. JH Trademark Company, LLC is, upon information and belief, an Arizona limited liability company with a principal place of business of 6336 North 48th Place, Paradise Valley, Arizona.

### JURISDICTION

- 3. This court has personal jurisdiction over JHT pursuant to Mass. Gen. Law ch. 223A, § 3 and subject matter jurisdiction because the amount in controversy exceeds \$25,000.
- Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Mass. Gen. Law ch. 223, § 8 because GBI maintains a principal place of business in Stoughton, Norfolk County, Massachusetts.

## FACTS

## GBI's Agrococcut With JHT

- 5. GBI was founded as a candy and tobacco wholesaling company in 1947 by Harold and Paul Garber.
- In the past fifty-seven years, OBI has grown into one of the top wholesale 6. distributors in the United States and has approximately 280 employees.
- GBI distributes candy, beverages, frozen foods, dairy and deli items, convenience 7. store supplies, food service equipment and other general merchandise to its convenience store customers along the Eastern scaboard from Maine to Virginia.
- GBI's growth is owed in large part to the relationship and good will that GBI has 8. worked to develop with its convenience store customers over an almost sixty year period.
- Upon information and belief, JHT is the management company for Philipe's 9. French Bread Pizza.
- In or about the Spring of 2003, GBI and JHT began discussing an arrangement whereby GBI would distribute Philipe's branded products - specifically, frozen pizzas -- to GBI convenience store customers.
- As part of this errangement, JHT also would supply GBI convenience store customers with freezer equipment in which to store the frozen pizzas and microwave ovens in which to cook them.
- On or about June 16, 2003, Bryen Botkin of JHT delivered to GBI the Letter of Agreement between JH Trademark And Garber Bros., Inc. (the "Letter Agreement"). A true copy of the Letter Agrooment is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- JHT drafted the Letter Agreement. 13.
- In the letter, dated June 16, 2003 (the "June 16 Letter"), that accompanied the Letter Agreement, Mr. Botkin stated that he was "glad that we have finally been able to reach an agreement that is amenable to both of our companies and I look forward to a long and prosperous relationship." A true copy of the June 16 Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- The June 16 Letter directed GBI to execute a copy of the Letter Agreement and 15. return the same to IHT, at which point IHT would sign a copy of the agreement and return that signed copy to GBI.
- The Letter Agreement stated that it was to have a two year term beginning on July 16. 1, 2003 and concluding on June 30, 2005.
- The Letter Agreement stated that both parties had the first right of renewal and could seek a firmre agreement within 60 days of the Letter Agreement's expiration.
- The Letter Agreement stated that information regarding the Letter Agreement was 18. confidential between the parties.
- The Letter Agreement stated that "[d]iscussion of information with or regarding 19. customers of Garber Bros., Inc. is strictly confidential."
- The Letter Agreement stated that "[b]efore adding new distributors. [JHT] will 20. offer [GBI] the opportunity to service the chain requesting the Philipe's Pizza program."
- Beginning in June of 2003, in accordance with the Letter Agreement, GBI began 21. providing JHT with confidential information regarding GBI's convenience store customers.
- Also beginning in June of 2003, and in accordance with the terms of the Letter 22. Agreement, JHT began the process of placing freezer and microwave equipment at GBI convenience store customer locations, if GBI convenience store customers agreed to have the equipment placed at their locations and paid JHT a nominal deposit.
- GBI, in accordance with the Letter Agreement, purchased Philipe's frozen pizzes 23. from JHT at a price of \$12.84 per case, and sold said cases to the GBI convenience store

customers who had agreed with JHT to have the freezer and microwave oven equipment at their locations at a price of \$15.06 per case.

- GBI, in accordance with the Letter Agreement, shipped the cases of Philipe's 24. frozen pizzas to its convenience store customers who had agreed to purchase the same.
- GBI expected and anticipated that it would realize a profit of at least \$30,000.00 as a result of the Letter Agreement.
- At all times material hereto, GBI performed its obligations under and in accordance with the Letter Agreement.

### JHT's Breach of the Letter Agreement B.

- In 2003 and 2004, in accordance with the Letter Agreement, GBI purchased tens of thousands of dollars worth of frozen pizzas from JHT in anticipation of delivering said frozen pizzas to GRI convenience store customers.
- On March 15, 2004, however, Doug Hecker of JHT informed John Poulakis of 28. GBI that (i) JHT was terminating the Letter Agreement with GBI and; (ii) beginning on March 29, 2004, Dari Farms would begin distributing JHT products, including Philipe's flozen pizzas, in Massachusetts, Connecticut and Rhode Island. A true copy of an electronic mail message from Doug Hecker to John Poulikas, dated March 15, 2004, is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- Dari Farms distributes products and inventory to convenience stores in New England and is a competitor of GBI.
- JHT did unilaterally terminate the Letter Agreement before the conclusion of its 30, term.
- JHT did not offer GBI a "first right of renewal," as required by the Letter 31. Agreement.
- JHT has not honored its obligation under the Letter Agreement to "seek a future 32. agreement within sixty days of the [Lotter Agreement] expiring."

- 42. GBI requires all of its sales and sales management personnel to sign noncompetition and nondisclosure agreements, pursuant to which GBI's sales and management personnel promise not to disclose any information related to GBI's convenience store oustomers.
- JHT has not returned the confidential customer information that it obtained from GBI pursuent to the Letter Agreement.
- JHT has misappropriated, and used for its own commercial gain, GBI's customer list, which, as the Letter Agreement explicitly states, "is strictly confidential."
- JHT's miseppropriation of GBI's confidential and proprietary information 45. relating to GBI's convenience store customers has caused GBI to and threatens to cause GBI to suffer imminent, substantial and incalculable damages for which GBI has no adequate remedy at law.

## COUNT I (Breach of Contract)

- GBI incorporates by reference the allegations of all of the preceding paragraphs 46. of the Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- GBI and JHT entered in a written agreement pursuant to which GBI promised to 47. serve as the distributor of Philipe's branded products, and specifically Philipe's Pizza, for which JHT serves as the management company.
- GBI has performed all of his obligations under the Letter Agreement and is not in 48. default in any respect under the Agreement.
- JHT is in material breach of the Agreement in that JHT (i) has misappropriated 49. and used for its own commercial gain GBI's customer list; (ii) unilaterally terminated the Lotter Agreement before the conclusion of its term; (iii) did not offer GBI a "first right of renewal," as required by the Letter Agreement; (iv) JHT has not honored its obligation under the Letter Agreement to "seek a future agreement within sixty days of the [Letter Agreement] expiring"; (v) JHT has added one or more new distributors without offering GBI the opportunity to service customers enrolled in the Philipe's Pizza program, as required by paragraph 15 of the first page of the Letter Agreement.

- JHT's breach of the Agreement and subsequent actions have caused and threatens 50. to cause GBI to suffer imminent, substantial and incalculable damages.
  - GHI has no adequate remedy at law. 51.
  - The balance of equities favors entry of an injunction. 52.
- Further, as a result of GBI breaches of the Letter Agreement, GBI has suffered 53. damages in an amount to be proved at trial.

## COUNT II (Breach of Confidentiality Agreement)

- GBI incorporates by reference the allegations of all of the preceding paragraphs 54. of the Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- In exchange for adequate and valuable consideration, JHT agreed to abide by the 55. Letter Agreement and, specifically, that "[d]iscussion for information with or regarding customers of Garber Bros., Inc. is strictly confidential."
- By improper appropriation, use and disclosure to third parties of GBI confidential 56. customer information following its unilateral termination of the Letter Agreement, JHT is in breach of its agreement with GBI.
- JHT's breach of the Letter Agreement and subsequent actions have caused and 57. threatens to cause GBI to suffer imminent, substantial and incalculable damages.
  - GBI has no adequate remedy at law. 58.
  - The balance of equities favors entry of an injunction. 59.
- Further, as a result of JHT's breaches of the Non-Disclosure Agreement, GBI has 60. suffered damages in an amount to be proved at trial.

## COUNT III (Breach Of The Implied Covenant Of Good Faith And Fair Dealing)

- GBI incorporates by reference the allegations of all of the preceding paragraphs б1. of the Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- By its conduct, JHT has breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing 62. implied in the Letter Agreement.

63. As a result of these breaches, GBI has suffered and is threatened to further suffer substantial damages, including significant loss of business and good will in its distribution area. in an amount to be proved at trial.

## COUNT IV (Misoppropriation of Trade Secrets; G. L. c. 93, § 42)

- GBI incorporates by reference the allogations of all of the preceding paragraphs 64. of the Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- JHT misappropriated and/or has threatened to misappropriate and/or will inevitably disclose trade secrets learned by him pursuant to the Letter Agreement, including the names and account information of GBI customers.
- As a result of JHT's misappropriation of trade secrets, GBI has suffered damages 66. in an amount to be proved at trial.

## COUNT V (Misappropriation of Trade Secrets; M.G.L. c. 93, § 42A)

- GBI incorporates by reference the allegations of all of the preceding paragraphs 67. of the Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- JHT misappropriated and/or has threatened to misappropriate and/or will 68. inevitably disclose trade secrets learned by him pursuant to the Letter Agreement, including the names and account information of GBI customers.
- As a result of the misappropriation of trade scorets by JHT, GBI will suffer substantial, incalculable, and irreparable harm.
  - GBI has no adequate remedy at law. 70.
  - The balance of equities favors entry of an injunction. 71.

## COUNT VI (Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A, §§ 2, 11)

- GBI incorporates by reference the allegations of all of the preceding paragraphs 72. of the Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- JHT and GBI engage in the conduct of trade or commerce in performing 73. construction work, and JHT's actions and transactions as described above and the resulting damages to GBI occurred primarily and substantially in Massachusetts.

- The statements, acts, and conduct of JHT, including JHT's (i) misappropriation, 74. and use for its own commercial gain, of GBI's customer list; (ii) unilateral termination of the Letter Agreement before the conclusion of its term; (iii) failure to offer GBI a "first right of renewal," as required by the Letter Agreement; (iv) failure to honor its obligation under the Letter Agreement to "seek a future agreement within sixty days of the [Letter Agreement] expiring"; (v) addition of one or more new distributors without offering GBI the opportunity to service oustomers enrolled in the Philipe's Pizza program, as required by paragraph 15 of the first page of the Letter Agreement constitute unfair and deceptive acts or practices in conduct of trade or commerce in violation of G.L. c. 93A, §§ 2 and 11.
- Said conduct of JHT was a willful and knowing violation of G.L. c. 93A, §§ 2 and 11, and a deliberate breach of JHT's known obligations under contract.
- As a direct and proximate result of JHT's knowing and willful unfair or deceptive acts or practices, GBI has suffered and with reasonable probability will in the future suffer substantial damages.

WHEREFORE, GBI respectfully requests that this Court:

- in accordance with the Letter Agreement and G. L. c. 93, § 42A, upon notice and (a) hearing preliminarily and permanently enjoin defendant JHT from further breaches of the Letter Agreement and JHT's statutory obligation to preserve the trade secrets of GBI;
- order JHT to immediately return all confidential information and trade secrets (ቀ) belonging to GBI and order JHT to retrain from contacting any GBI customers;
- enter judgment against JHT for all damages incident to the uniswful conduct as **(c)** alleged herein, including attorneys' fees and double damages pursuant to G. L. c. 93, § 42;
- enter judgment against JHT for all damages incident to its unlawful conduct as (d) alleged herein, including attorneys' fees and troble damages pursuant to G. L. c. 93A; and
- award such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper. **(4)**

Respectfully Submitted,

GARBER BROS., INC.

By its attorney

TYP LAW OFFFICE OF TERRY KLEIN

Terry Klein, BBO# 652052

1558 Dorchester Avenue, Ste. 202

Dorchester, Massachusetts 02122

Telephone: (617) 825-8175 Facsimile: (617) 507-6454

Dated: June 4, 2004

%1S 44 (Rev. 3/99)		CIVIL COV	ER SHEET		
The IS-44 civil cover sheet a by low, except as provided t use of the Clerk of Court for	nd the information contained by local rules of court. This to the purpose of initiating the	d herein author replace form, approved by the a civil docket sheet. (	e nor supplement the filing Judicial Conference of the SEEINS RUCTIONS OF	g and service of pleadings of United States in Septemb NTHE REVERSE OF THE	or other papers as required er 1974, is required for the E FORM.)
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	<b>;</b>	
GARBER BROS	., INC.		JH TRAD	EMARK COMPANY	
/A.d.)	Pint Listed Plaintiff NOT 1 EPT IN U.S. PLAINTEF CAS		County of Residence	CONFIRM LISTON  NO. S. PLAINTPF CASES  CONFIRM CARES, USE  PROLIVED.	ONLY) THE LOCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (First Nature Terry Klein 1558 Dorch Dorchester 617-825-81		e. 20 <b>x</b>	Hyannis 508-775-	nheimer ath Streat , MA 02601 -0763	
II. BASIS OF JURISD		ne Bes Caly) III.	CITIZENSHIP OF PR For Diversity Cases Only)	INCIPAL PARTIES	lace on "X" in One Bux for Flamus!"  and One Bux for Defendant)
U.S. Government	Foderal Question     (U.S. Government)		Littzen of This State	DXF incorporated or F of Business in	rincipal Place Q 4 🗆 4 This State
2 U.S. Government Defendant	S a Diversity (Indicate Citizemiti in Rem III)	tip of Parties	Citizen of Another State  Citizen of Subject of a	of Business h	Principal Place © 5 XO 5 Another State
			Foreign Country		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	[ Place an "X" in One ]		PORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
CONTRACT  C 110 (nigrance	PERSONAL INJUNY	PERSONAL INJURY	Sin Agricumere Sin 620 Other Faud & Unity	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	440 State Respiparticament (2 410 Anthron)
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 134 Miller Act ☐ 140 Nagotiable Instrument	1/3 Aitpiene Preduct	Med. Malpinetice 365 Personal lolary	of respect 1 to 10	28 USC 157	330 Benks and Banking   450 Commerce/SCC Recentate.   460 Department
150 Roen-ery of Overpus mont	320 Asseult, Libe ( A Stander D	Ten Veberrer perions	A KAN H. R. & SPOOL	PROPERTY RIGHTS	Coempi Organizations
☐ 1-012Memicana Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defended Student Lights	☐ 336 Federal Employers*  13ebility ☐ 140 Marine F	hajory Pendous Lightlity ERRONAL FROYERTY 370 Other Fransi	Got Occupational  Softwy/Routh	120 Ca pyright     330 Pareat     340 Tred cmark	(1) \$10 Selective Service (2) 450 Security-Commodition Verbange
i Mact. Velenos)  153 Recursity of Overpayment	Liabilly	371 Truth in Conding	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	II #75 Custometr C twilenge
ud Veterab's Benefils  1.60 Stoc kbolders' Sulis  195 Other Control  195 Contract Fraduct Liabitty	335 M otor V chicke Product Lindskiy  300 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 185 Property Champs Product Liability	710 Fair Labor Smadards Art 720 Labor Migmi, Raistiona	☐ Net 821D Live X ≥ 1	\$91 Agricultural Auth     \$92 Ecopomic Stablisation Act     \$93 Ecopomic Stablisation Act     \$94 Ecopomic Alexandrian Act     \$95 Precedent of
REAL PROPERTY		RISONER PETITIONS	750 Lubockt gast Reparting  A Obschante Act		Information Ass.
☐ 210 Lund Condemostium ☐ 220 Paper Leads ☐ 230 Rem Lease & Gjeermene ☐ 240 Torre to Land	442 Employment  443 Housing  Accommod ations	2 (2 M otions to Vacade Sensuco Habeas Corpus: 1 230 General	740 Other Labor 1.21g1100	FEDERAL TAX SUITS  ### STORY Taxes (U.S. Projectiff or Octondum)	Deterphysical Access to Instite  1950 Compiliationship of
245 Test i' rudnot bishilky 250 200 All Orace Real Francis's	I⊜ கூடம் வூக   🖸	535 Douth Pendity 540 Mandemus & Other	791 hmp), Rej. for.	☐ 971 (AS That Party	State Signard  300 Other Statemery Actions

Transferred from another district Respond or 5 (specify) □ 3 Remarked from Appellate Court Original MX 2 Removed from State Court VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite 100 U.S. Civil Smitto under willch you are Flag and write best studied of cause.

(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CRECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.CP. 23	DEMANDS	CHECK YES only if demanded in complian  JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ☐ No.	ıt:
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(hetructions): IUDG E		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 6-25-04	BIONATURE OF A PRO		heimer	<del>, , ,</del>
FOR OFFICE USE USEN	<del></del>	a ned	MAG. /UBGE	

Security Act

26 DSC 7609

□ 6 Multidistrict Lidgation

L'S tremana 966.0N\_

🗔 390 All Other Real Francity

v. ORIGIN

APPLYING IFF.

550 Civil Rights
555 Prison Condition

il bat

JUN.25.2004 11:01AM LAW OFFICES

Appeal to District

Judge from Magistrate Judgment

**□** 7